

TECHNICAL WHITE PAPER

# Disaster Recovery for KVM-Based Virtualization

Why oVirt, RHV, and OLVM environments need enterprise-grade disaster recovery — and what "enterprise-grade" actually means as KVM moves into mission-critical production.

# Virtualization is re-platforming. Its safety net hasn't caught up.

---

KVM has quietly become one of the most widely deployed virtualization technologies in the world, and the platforms built on it — oVirt, Red Hat Virtualization (RHV), and Oracle Linux Virtualization Manager (OLVM) — are now running production workloads at real scale. Yet the disaster recovery (DR) capabilities that enterprises took for granted on proprietary platforms were never built natively for KVM.

This paper examines why that gap exists, why it is becoming urgent, and what genuine enterprise-grade disaster recovery requires. It is written to be useful regardless of which tools a team ultimately chooses.

## THE SHIFT

### KVM enters the enterprise

---

For years, KVM was viewed primarily as a cost-saving or developer-centric choice. That perception is now out of date. Open virtualization stacks have matured into credible platforms for production estates, and a wave of organizations are migrating onto them — many for the first time in over a decade of stability on a single proprietary vendor.

The catalyst is well known: significant changes to VMware's licensing and packaging following its acquisition by Broadcom prompted a broad re-evaluation of virtualization strategy across the industry. The result is a one-time, forced re-platforming of enterprise virtualization, with KVM-based platforms as a primary destination.

**The consequence is subtle but important.** Workloads that were previously protected by a mature ecosystem of replication, failover, and recovery tooling are now landing on a platform where that ecosystem is thin — and in many cases, absent.

# Backup is not disaster recovery

Most KVM environments today rely on some form of backup: periodic snapshots, image exports, or file-level copies. Backup is necessary, but it is not disaster recovery. The distinction matters because the two solve different problems and are measured by different outcomes.

Backup answers the question, "**can I get the data back eventually?**" Disaster recovery answers a harder one: "**how quickly can the business be running again, and how much data will I lose in the process?**" Those questions are governed by two metrics every DR plan must define.

CONCEPT	WHAT IT MEASURES
RPO — Recovery Point Objective	The maximum acceptable amount of data loss, expressed as time. An RPO of one hour means losing up to an hour of changes is tolerable. Periodic backups often imply an RPO of many hours.
RTO — Recovery Time Objective	The maximum acceptable time to restore service after an incident. Restoring large images from backup can take hours; orchestrated DR aims for minutes.

When an environment depends on nightly or weekly copies, both numbers degrade quietly until the day they are tested by a real outage. The shortfalls of a backup-only posture become clear under pressure:

- **Large recovery windows.** Restoring full disk images sequentially does not meet a low RTO.
- **Significant data loss.** The gap between backups is, by definition, the data you lose.
- **Unverified recoverability.** A backup that has never been test-restored is an assumption, not a guarantee.
- **No orchestration.** Bringing dozens of interdependent VMs back in the right order, on the right network, is a manual scramble without it.

A protection strategy is only as good as its last successful, verified recovery — not its last successful backup.

# What enterprise-grade DR actually requires

---

Enterprise disaster recovery is a set of capabilities working together, not a single feature. The following are the components a serious DR posture for KVM should include.

## 1. Near-continuous replication

To achieve a low RPO, changes must be replicated to a recovery site continuously rather than in scheduled batches. Efficient replication tracks changed blocks (changed-block tracking) and ships only the deltas, keeping a recovery copy that trails production by seconds rather than hours.

## 2. Orchestrated failover and failback

Recovery is more than starting VMs. It means bringing a consistency group of interdependent workloads online in the correct order, then — once the primary site is healthy — reversing the flow to return cleanly to source. Both directions must be automated and repeatable.

## 3. Non-disruptive recovery testing

A DR plan that cannot be tested without impacting production will not be tested often enough. The ability to spin up workloads from any recovery point in an isolated sandbox — while live replication continues untouched — is what converts a theoretical plan into a proven one.

## 4. Automatic network reconfiguration (re-IP)

Recovery sites rarely share the production network's addressing. Workloads must be re-addressed automatically on failover so applications return on a reachable network, without manual reconfiguration during an incident.

## 5. Immutability and ransomware resilience

Modern threats target backups directly. Recovery copies must be immutable — tamper-proof for a defined retention period — so that a known-good restore point survives an attack. Early detection of anomalous change patterns adds a further layer of defense.

## PUTTING IT TOGETHER

# Architecting DR for a KVM estate

---

Designing disaster recovery for oVirt, RHV, or OLVM follows a consistent sequence, whatever tooling is chosen:

- **Group by dependency.** Organize VMs into consistency groups that fail over together, so application tiers stay coherent.
- **Set objectives per group.** Not every workload needs the same RPO/RTO. Tier them, and size replication and retention accordingly.
- **Prefer agentless designs.** Approaches that use the platform's native virtualization tooling avoid installing software inside every guest, reducing operational drag and lock-in.
- **Plan capacity deliberately.** Account for the full footprint: a replica, a retention journal, long-term archive, and staging space for recovery testing.
- **Test on a schedule.** Recovery you have not rehearsed is recovery you do not have. Non-disruptive testing makes a regular cadence practical.

## CAPABILITY CHECKLIST

### Evaluating a DR approach for KVM

---

#### CAPABILITY

---

- ✓ Near-continuous, changed-block replication with a configurable, low RPO
  - ✓ Orchestrated failover *and* failback across consistency groups
  - ✓ Non-disruptive recovery testing while replication keeps running
  - ✓ Automatic re-IP and network reconfiguration on failover
  - ✓ Immutable, tamper-proof recovery points with defined retention
  - ✓ Ransomware-anomaly detection across protected data
  - ✓ Agentless operation using native KVM/QEMU tooling
  - ✓ Native support for oVirt, RHV, and OLVM
-

## CONCLUSION

# The platform has scaled. The protection must follow.

KVM's growth into enterprise infrastructure and its disaster-recovery gap are the same trend viewed from two angles. As more mission-critical workloads land on oVirt, RHV, and OLVM, the absence of enterprise-grade DR stops being an acceptable trade-off and becomes a material risk.

The good news is that the requirements are well understood. Teams migrating off legacy platforms have an opportunity to design recovery in from the start — with continuous replication, orchestrated failover, tested recoverability, and ransomware resilience — rather than discovering the gap during an incident. Disaster recovery is the layer no organization regrets investing in, and the one nobody wants to build during an outage.



### About KVMDR

KVMDR is enterprise disaster recovery built natively for the KVM ecosystem — oVirt, RHV, and OLVM. It provides agentless, near-sync replication, one-click failover and failback, non-disruptive recovery testing, immutable recovery copies, and AI-assisted ransomware detection — delivering the enterprise-grade protection the platform has been missing.

[Learn more and claim a free pilot at \*\*kvm-dr.ai\*\* →](https://kvm-dr.ai)